Sri S. R. KANTHI —Sir, on the recommendatien of the Governor, I beg to move :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,41,40,000 including the sums already voted on account be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1969 in respect of 'Administration of Justice'."

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,41,40,000 including the sums already voted on account be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1969 in respect of 'Administration of Justice'."

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—On the recommendation of the Governor, I beg to move :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,97,23,000 including the sums already voted on account be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1969, in respect of 'General Administration."

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Motion moved :

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,97,23,000 including the sums already voted on account be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1969, in respect of 'General Administration.'"

All the three Demands are before the House for debate. The Hon'ble Members are free to offer their observations. Sri S. Sivappa will have to begin the debate.

Members' Representation.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಒಂದು ತುರ್ತಾದ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿಂತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಉಪವಾಸದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಸಲ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ವಿಧಾನ ಸೌಧದ ಸುತ್ತಲೂ ಉಪವಾಸಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಅದು ಒಂದು ಅತ್ಯಪ್ರಿಯ ಲಕ್ಷಣ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಥವಾ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ಯಾರೂ ಆ ಕಡೆ ಗಮನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಯುರ್ವೇದ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಉಪವಾಸ ಕೂತು ಬಹಳ ದಿವಸಗಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಏನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಏನೋ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತ ಇಲ್ಲ. ನೀರಿನ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಉಪವಾಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿದರು, ವಿಷಯ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ, ನನಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ, ಉಪವಾಸ ಕೂತಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಎಂದು ಅದನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಗೇ ಬಿಟ್ಟರು. ಈಗ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಕಮ್ಯುನಿಸ್ಟ್ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಯವರು ಉಪವಾಸ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರು ಒಂದು ಬೇಡಿಕೆಯನ್ನೂ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ ಅಥವಾ

ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ, ಬೇಡಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟವರೆಲ್ಲ, ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿರುವವರೆಲ್ಲ ಉಪವಾಸ ಕುಳಿತು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇ? ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಮುಂದುವರಿದರೆ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ತಾವು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ್ದು ಧೋರಣೆ, ನೀತಿ, ಇದ್ದರೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I do not know whether it will come at this stage. I do not know whether Hon'ble Members can take up this question at any time of the sitting of the House. But Sir, when you allowed the Member to put a question, I would like to answer it. I have already answered this point yesterday. I have said that it is unfortunate that for no reason at all, some friends, for political reasons, in order to bring pressure on the Government, undertake fasts without giving sufficient reasons. I do not know what exactly is the fast that is going on, to which my friend refers. There seem to be a plethora of fasts that are going on. One is by the Ayurveda people, another possibly by the allopathy people, another by HAL employees and yet another by the employees of the BEL. I do not know how many fasts are going on. Some friends came to me and told me about these Central Industries. I have written to the Ministers in the Centre and I have told their representatives that when I am in Delhi, I will take the opportunity of discussing the matter with the concerned Ministers and I have to go there. But even before I can go to Delhi and discuss these matters, if friends take up fasts, I do not know how anybody can help. Government cannot help. There are various other methods of solving their difficulties and it is better they take to other methods instead of undertaking fasts. I earnestly hope that friends here will advise them not to resort to fasts when there are methods open. I wish they will do it. I only appeal to the Members to advise them not to go on with their fasts.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—When Sri Gopala Gowda rose to speak I thought he would speak on the Demands before the House. It is not correct to bring in matters that are not on the Agenda. There is a procedure for everything. This is not the way of agitating matters at any time.

I now call upon Sri Sivappa to open the Debate.

(Interruption by Sri V. N. Patil)

Sri S. S. SHETTAR (Hubli).—On a point of order, Sir. If the Chair is very particular about rules of procedure, I wish to state that it is not a call attention motion. All of a sudden a certain point is raised and once it has been allowed, I do not know under what procedure it has been allowed. But when other members raised Similar points, they are put down. Why only particular members are encouraged and others put down ? Why should there be any discrimination ?

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—It was not allowed. Let me make it clear that I never allowed Sri Gopal Gowda to raise this matter. When he rose to speak, I thought he was speaking on the Demand. The fact that he said

(MR. CHAIRMAN)

something does not mean that I permitted it. Therefore I request the Hon'ble Member to remove that idea from his mind. Only the Demands are before the House and if any of the Hon'ble Members have any grievances, let them come before the House in proper manner. I request the Hon'ble Members to follow the procedure strictly.

SRI V. N. PATIL (Humnabad).—I want to make my point clear.

(Chairmnn on his legs)

MR. CHAIRMAN.—It is not fair on the part of the Member to rise and speak when I am on my legs. Is there no limit for this? Let him please sit down. Mr. Patil is unnecessarily obstructing the proceedings of the House. Let him please take his seat.....

(Sri V. N. Patil was still on his legs)

I am not going to allow a single minute for this purpose. What the member is speaking need not be recorded. It won't go to records. Please resume.

(Sri V. N. Patil persisted to speak)

* * * *

Need not to be recorded. The hon. Member is creating unnecessary obstruction. Let him please resume his seat. I am on my legs.

(Srt V. N. Patil continued to be on his legs)

I am on my legs. I shall bring to the notice of the hon. Member Sri V. N. Patil Rule 308 which says:

> "The Speaker may direct any member whose conduct is, in his opinion, grossly disorderly to withdraw immediately from the Assembly, and any member so ordered to withdraw shall do so forthwith and shall absent himself during the remainder of the day's sitting."

Please do not compel me to use this provision by continuing such obstruction.....

Under the authority conferred on me under Rule 308 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly, I direct Mr. V. N. Patil to withdraw from the House.....

Sri Patil should withdraw from the House.

**** Matter not recorded as ordered by the Chair

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Definitely, I will withdraw.

Mr. CHAIRMAN. – Let him please withdraw.

Sri V. N. PATIL.— In protest, I am withdrawing.

Mr. CHAIRMAN. —To withdraw immediately .....Please withdraw immediately.....Please withdraw immediately.

(The hon'ble Member Sri V. N. Patil withdrew from the House.)

Sri AZEEZ SAIT (Narasimharaja).—Mr. Speaker, Sir,.....

Mr. CHAIRMAN. – The member will please resume his seat. I am on may begs.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬನವರಾಜು (ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜನಗರ).—ನಾನೊಂದು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪವನ್ನು ನೂಚಿಸು ತ್ತೇನೆ. ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಟೀಲರಿಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಠರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರಿ. ಇದು ಡಿಸ್‌ಕ್ರಿಮಿನೇಷನ್ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.—ಶ್ರೀ ಬನವರಾಜುರವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಟೀಲರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಠರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Mr. CHAIRMAN. – Is the member raising a point of order ?

Sri AZEEZ SAIT.— You have permitted me to raise a point of order.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Let him please resume his seat.

Sri AZEEZ SAIT.—Please go into the records. You have permitted me to raise a point of order.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Nothing doing.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR. – I rise to a point of order, Sir. When a certain point was raised by an hon'ble, member the Minister was permitted to reply. I do not know whether it was calling attention motion or whether it is any Short Notice Question. How was it permitted at this juncture ? Why this anomalous position ? One Member was asked to put the question and another was asked to retire from the House. On account of this, a point of order has arisen. Under what authority or rule, all of a sudden a question is permitted to be raised even though it was not on the agenda. If it were there, when was it inserted in to-day's list of business ?

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I have already said that nothing was permitted. When Sri Gopala Gowda stood up, I thought he wanted to raise something according to Rules. Merely because he said something, it does not mean that it had my permission. I had not permitted any motion to be taken. Now, everything should go according to the procedure. That point, I have already dealt. That point should not be raked up again.

Sri AZEEZ SAIT.—Mr. Speaker Sir, my humble submission is, I am on a point of order. The hon'ble Speaker himself who is the custodian of this House, permitted me to speak. So, I do not know under what provision, the permission given by the same Speaker has been withdrawn. I have been called upon to raise the point of order. I want a ruling on the point, whether once having been permitted, that permission could be withdrawn.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I understand.

Sri AZEEZ SAIT.—Let me finish my point of order. Please hear me patiently. I am sorry to say that you may not be in a position to give a ruling and do justice without hearing me sufficiently. So, permit me to speak. Please find out from the official records : you were good enough to permit me to speak. When I started speaking, another hon'ble Member intervened.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Let him please say what he wants to say, within a minute.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.—ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಮೇಲೆ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಪೇಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡ ಬೇಕು. ನನಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಿ, ನಾನು ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಎದ್ದಾಗ ಶ್ರೀ ಬನವರಾಜುರವರು ಕ್ರಿಯಾರೋಪವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು, ಅಜೀಜ್‌ಸೇಟರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಿ, ಉಳಿದವರಿಗೇಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಪುನಃ ನನಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಿ.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—A point of order is raised by the hon'ble Member Mr. Azeez Sait that having called upon to speak, he was not allowed to speak. The Chair was under the impression that Mr. Azeez Sait wanted to raise a point of order. It was only under that impression that he was allowed to rise in his seat and address this House. But no point of order was sought to be raised. He resumed his seat. In the circumstances, no point of order has arisen. Mr. Sivappa to speak.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR (Tumku).—I have sent a letter...

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I shall just find out what your letter is about!

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—I do not want to take much time.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—An honourable and intelligent Member like Sri Gangadhar must hear me. If I permit him there are a number of other hon'ble Members desiring to rise and address. Why do the members embarrass me ? Whatever letter the member has sent, I will look into ; he need not worry about it.

† Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—My difficulty is, when once the debate is initiated, I will not be in a position.....

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I am not going to allow anything to be said which does not pertain to the subject.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—I am not going to say much, Sir. Only a word about my letter. My difficulty is, when once the debate is started ....

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I am not allowing ; I cannot allow. Now, Sri Sivappa may speak.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—If you could kindly bear with me for one minute, I will explain.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—No, Sri Sivappa may commence his speech.

Sri DIGAMBARA RAO B. KALMANKAR.—On a point of order, Sir. Sri Gangadhar is putting a proposition before this House that the matter which he has referred to in his letter is very important and that requires immediate attention. He must be given an opportunity to say what he has got to say before this House. This kind of not allowing the hon'ble member is actually obstructing the freedom of the member. Before the regular work is taken up, the hon'ble member may be permitted to explain. He is rising to say something, and he has been denied the opportunity to say what he has got to say.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Hon'ble Member Sri Digambara Rao has raised a point of order and probably by raising a point of order, he wants to advocate Sri Gangadhar who is himself a very able advocate. He wants to say that Sri Gangadhar wanted to move a very urgent matter before the House. For this, provision is prescribed and a procedure is prescribed and when that procedure is not followed, nothing in the nature of urgency can be brought before the House in the manner it is sought to be brought. Therefore, there is no point of order.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—The Sepaker has got every liberty to give some latitude.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Let him leave it to the Speaker.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—At least at the end of the day you may please consider.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I will consider if it is left to me.

DEMAND Nos. 9, 10, AND 11

(Debate Continued)

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ಈ ದಿನ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಸಚಿವರು ಕೂಡ ಮಂಡನೆ ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಗಳು ಈ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡನೆ ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಗಳೆಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿಂತಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಅತಿ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿವೆ. ಇವತ್ತು ಕೇಳಿರತಕ್ಕ ಹಣ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇರಬಹುದು ಸುಮಾರು 4 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಬೇಡಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಅಫೇರ್ಸ್ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳೂ ಕೂಡ ಅವರ ಬಾಬು ನ್ಯಾಯಖಾತೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇವತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದ ಆಡಳಿತ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಈ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಗಳ ಮುಖಾಂತರವಾಗಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಂತ್ರಿಮಂಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಕೈಕೆಳಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೇರಿಯಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಒತ್ತಾನೆಯಾಗಿ ನಿಂತಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದಂತಹ ಆಡಳಿತ ಇದು ಎಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಬಿಗಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ ಎಂಬ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಈ ಬೇಡಿಕೆ ಏನಿದೆ ಇದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡುವಾಗ ನಾನು ಒಂದೆರಡು ವಾಸ್ತವಾಂತ ಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ತರಲಿಚ್ಚಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧರಣೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದೆರಡು ಸಾರಿ ಆಯೋಗಗಳನ್ನು ರಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಮೊದಲನೆಯಸಾರಿ ಗೋರ್‌ವಾಲಾರವರ ನೇತೃತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ದೇಶದ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಅನಂತರ